



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,978	10/17/2001	Benjamin J. Parker	1567 (15721)	7499
33272	7590	09/22/2005	EXAMINER	
SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY MAILSTOP: KSOPHT0101-22100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			SHEW, JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,978	PARKER, BENJAMIN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John L. Shew	2664	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/17/2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,8 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-3,5-7,10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10172001</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because

Fig. 2 DS-1 transmit line from Voice Switch W/Echo Canceller to Test Apparatus has reference character "31" should be "32".

Fig. 2 DS-1 receive line from Voice Switch W/Echo Canceller to Test Apparatus has reference character "32" should be "33".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al. (Patent No. 5008923) in view of Hatamian (Patent No. 6411117).

Claim 1, Kitamura teaches an apparatus comprising a first line interface for providing layer-1 interfacing to a communications trunk carrying a trunk signal (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the High-Speed Digital Line Interface Circuit 95 connecting to the High-Speed Digital Line at layer 1 the physical interface wherein the High-Speed Digital

Line comprises multiplexed trunk circuits, a first framer coupled to said first line interface providing layer-2 interfacing to said trunk signal to make available frames of multiplexed individual subscriber signals (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the Multiplex Demultiplexer Unit 94 which connects to the High-Speed Digital Line Interface Circuit 95 to de-multiplex the trunk circuits 91n of individual subscribers, said individual subscriber signals each including respective transmit and receive signals (FIG. 9, FIG. 1, col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 3 lines 1-21) referenced by the transmit $e(n)$ Out terminal 1d connection and the receive $r(n)$ Input terminal 1a connection of the Testable Echo Canceller for connection to the Trunk Circuit 91n, and a test controller coupled to said first framer for continuously de-multiplexing said frames (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the Control Unit 93 coupled to the Demultiplexer Unit 94 via the respective Echo Cancellers 92n which is continuously connected to the de-multiplexed trunk lines of the High-Speed Digital Line.

Kitamura does not teach storing samples in a queue for a selected echo delay.

Hatamian teaches storing samples in a queue (Fig. 2, col. 4 lines 36-61, col. 7 lines 11-24) referenced by the LPBK FIFO 234 holding samples for the ECHO/NEXT Canceller 232, for a selected echo delay (FIG. 3A, col. 7 lines 61-67, col. 8 lines 1-13) referenced by the delay elements D_i of the Echo/NEXT Canceller implemented by a CMOS dynamic register, adding said samples to an individual receive signal for said selected individual subscriber signal after said selected echo delay (Fig. 2, col. 5 lines 45-67, col. 6 lines 1-12) referenced by the P/S MUX 224 from the Sampling Clock Domain which is sent to the Feed Forward Equalizer 26 where it is summed 32 with the output of the

Echo/NEXT Cancellor, and continuously re-multiplexing said frames (Fig. 2, col. 7 lines 11-48) referenced by the Gain Stage 34 output to the Deskew 36 and subsequent output to the Receive PCS 204R for transmission out.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dynamic register testing capability of Hatamian to the testable echo canceling method of Kitamura for the purpose of controlling voltages at nodes that may become floating nodes in a dynamic register included in an integrated circuit as suggested by Hatamian (col. 2 lines 39-42).

Claim 4, Kitamura teaches a testable echo cancelling device with a test Controller (FIG. 1) referenced by the Control unit 15. Kitamura does not teach a test controller with selectable gain.

Hatamian teaches a controller comprising a selectable gain for adjusting a gain of said samples prior to adding them to an individual receive signal (Fig. 2, col. 4 lines 46-53) referenced by the Auto-Gain Control 220 adjusting the gain of the Line Interface prior to the summer 32 fo the Feed Forward Equalizer 26.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dynamic register testing capability of Hatamian to the testable echo canceling method of Kitamura for the purpose of controlling voltages at nodes that may become floating nodes in a dynamic register included in an integrated circuit as suggested by Hatamian (col. 2 lines 39-42).

Claim 8, Kitamura teaches a method for testing echo cancellers for connecting to individual terminals said individual terminals exchanging transmit and receive signals within a telecommunications system including a communications trunk (col. 2 lines 6-11, FIG. 1, col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 3 lines 1-21, FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the Echo Cancellers 92₁ through 92_n connecting to each individual terminal trunk with echo cancellation test circuitry connecting to transmit $e(n)$ Out terminal 1d and receive $r(n)$ Input terminal 1a, said method comprising the steps of receiving respective transmit signals in said telecommunications system from each of said individual terminals (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the individual de-multiplexed trunk signals at the output of the Multiplex Demultiplexer Unit 94 from the High-Speed Digital Line of the telecommunications system, multiplexing a plurality of transmit signals into respective slots in a multiplexed signal (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the High-Speed Digital Line which is a multiplex of trunk line as reflected in the de-multiplexed trunk circuits 91_n, coupling said multiplexed signal to a termination of said communications trunk (FIG. 9, col. 6 lines 59-67) referenced by the Trunk Circuits 91₁ through 91_n which terminates the respective trunk of the multiplexed High-Speed Digital Line, connecting a test apparatus to said communications trunk (FIG. 9, Fig. 1, col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 3 lines 1-5) referenced by the Multiplexing Device 90 which contains for each Echo Cancellor 92_n a Call Signal Generation unit 12 in conjunction with the Test Echo Signal Generation unit 13, de-multiplexing said plurality of transmit and receive signals within said test apparatus (FIG. 1, FIG. 9) referenced by the Multiplex Demultiplexer Unit 94 which demultiplexes the trunk signals into a pair of transmit/receive signals for each

Echo Canceller 92n, passing said receive signals through respective echo cancellers to generate echo-cancelled signals (FIG. 1, col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 3 lines 1-34) referenced by the Echo Sig $s(n)$ terminal 1c passing through the Echo Canceller (DSP) unit 11 for output to $e(n)$ Out terminal 1d to achieve echo cancellation, evaluating cancellation of said delayed echo signal by a corresponding echo canceller (FIG. 1, FIG. 4, col. 4 lines 3-16) referenced by the Residual Echo Detection unit 14 evaluating the echo power to a determined threshold. Kitamura does not teach sampling a selected de-multiplexed transmit signal from its respective slot.

Hatamian teaches sampling at least one selected de-multiplexed transmit signal from its respective slot (Fig. 2, col. 4 lines 36-61, col. 7 lines 11-24) referenced by the LPBK FIFO 234 holding samples for the ECHO/NEXT Canceller 232, re-multiplexing said de-multiplexed transmit signals into said respective slots in a re-multiplexed transmit signal and coupling said re-multiplexed transmit signal to said communications trunk (Fig. 2, col. 5 lines 45-67, col. 6 lines 1-12, col. 7 lines 11-48) referenced by the P/S MUX 224 from the Sampling Clock Domain which is sent to the Feed Forward Equalizer 26 where it is summed 32 with the output of the Echo/NEXT Canceller followed by the , Gain Stage 34 output to the Deskew 36 and subsequent output to the Receive PCS 204R for multiplex transmission out, delaying said sampled signal by a selected echo delay to generate a delayed echo signal (FIG. 3A, col. 7 lines 61-67, col. 8 lines 1-13) referenced by the delay elements D_i of the Echo/NEXT Canceller implemented by a CMOS dynamic register, adding said delayed echo signal to a selected de-multiplexed receive signal corresponding to said selected de-multiplexed transmit signal (FIG. 2,

FIG. 3A) referenced by the LPBK FIFO 234 which is the de-multiplexed signal to which the Echo Canc. 232 implements a series of delay elements, adding said delayed echo signal to a selected de-multiplexed receive signal corresponding to said selected de-multiplexed transmit signal (FIG. 2, col. 4 lines 37-61, col. 5 lines 45-65) referenced by the Receive PCS 204R corresponding to the Transmit PCS 204T which provides an input to the P/S MUX 224 for receiving the Echo Canceller 232 delayed signal through the Summer 32, re-multiplexing said de-multiplexed receive signal in said test apparatus after said addition step and coupling said re-multiplexed received signal to said communications trunk ((Fig. 2, col. 7 lines 11-48) referenced by the Echo Canceller 232 delay signal to the Summer 34 followed by the Gain Stage 34 output to the Deskew 36 and subsequent output to the Receive PCS 204R for re-multiplexing transmission out, de-multiplexing said receive signal from said termination of said communications trunk to recover said receive signals (FIG. 2, col. 4 lines 36-52) referenced by the P/S Mux unit 224 de-multiplexing of receive signals from the termination of Line Interface 210 communication trunk to obtain receive signals.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dynamic register testing capability of Hatamian to the testable echo canceling method of Kitamura for the purpose of controlling voltages at nodes that may become floating nodes in a dynamic register included in an integrated circuit as suggested by Hatamian (col. 2 lines 39-42).

Art Unit: 2664

Claim 9, Kitamura teaches a testable echo cancelling device. Kitamura does not teach delaying a de-multiplexed transmit signal prior to re-multiplexing in response to a selected line delay.

Hatamian teaches the step of delaying a de-multiplexed transmit signal prior to re-multiplexing in response to a selected line delay (FIG. 2, FIG. 3A, col. 8 lines 65-67, col. 9 lines 1-4) referenced by the Echo Canceller 232 adding delay to the de-multiplexed Transmit signal via the LPBK FIFO 234 prior to re-multiplexing through the Summer 32 to the Receive PCS 204R wherein the Echo Canceller delay is based on the dynamic register.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dynamic register testing capability of Hatamian to the testable echo canceling method of Kitamura for the purpose of controlling voltages at nodes that may become floating nodes in a dynamic register included in an integrated circuit as suggested by Hatamian (col. 2 lines 39-42).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.



Citation of Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patent number 4862449, Hoefkens et al. disclose an adjustable echo canceller. Patent number 6185300, Romesburg discloses an echo canceller for use in a communications system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Shew whose telephone number is 571-272-3137. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


js
WELLINGTON CHIN
SENIOR PATENT EXAMINER